



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/060,452	01/30/2002	William H. Manning	DBMOP103USA	6613		
7590 03/16/2004			EXAM	INER		
Warren A. Sklar			NGUYE	NGUYEN, TU T		
Renner, Otto, I	Boisselle & Sklar, LLP					
19th Floor		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
1621 Euclid Av	venue	2877	2877			
Cleveland, Oh	H 44115-2191	DATE MAILED: 03/16/2004	<b>4</b>			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	lo.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/060,452		MANNING ET AL.			
		Examiner Art Unit		1			
		Tu T. Nguyen		2877	pw		
The MAILING DATE of this	communication appe		ver sheet with the d	correspondence ad	dress		
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY P THE MAILING DATE OF THIS C  - Extensions of time may be available under t after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date  - If the period for reply specified above is less  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the  - Failure to reply within the set or extended p Any reply received by the Office later than the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CF	COMMUNICATION. the provisions of 37 CFR 1.130 of this communication. than thirty (30) days, a reply maximum statutory period wi eriod for reply will, by statute, three months after the mailing	6(a). In no event, h within the statutory ill apply and will exp	owever, may a reply be tin minimum of thirty (30) day ire SIX (6) MONTHS from in to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co	/. ommunication.		
Status .							
1) Responsive to communica	tion(s) filed on 28 Jai	nuary 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	· ·	action is non-	īnal.				
3) Since this application is in							
closed in accordance with	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pendin 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1</u> 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allow  6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-16</u> is/are rejecte  7) □ Claim(s) is/are obje  8) □ Claim(s) are subject	-6 and 17-19 is/are v ved. ed. cted to.						
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objecte	d to by the Examiner	·.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 January 2002</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that	• •	= : :			-D 4 404(4)		
Replacement drawing sheet(s	-						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
2. Certified copies of the Certified	lone of: ne priority documents ne priority documents ed copies of the priori International Bureau	s have been re s have been re ity documents (PCT Rule 1	eceived. eceived in Applicat have been receive 7.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage		
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	D. J. (070 510)	4)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>		•		ate Patent Application (PTC	)-152)		

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group II (claims 7-16) filed on 01/28/2004 is acknowledged.

## Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

For this application, the abstract has two separate paragraphs.

#### **Drawings**

Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/060,452

Art Unit: 2877

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 7,13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With respect to claims 7,13, it is not clear how the components in the claimed are connected. How do they connect together in order to synchronize measurements with the sweeping of the wavelength of the electromagnetic energy?

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Colbourne et al (6,359,685).

With respect to claim 7, Colbourne discloses a testing system. The system comprises: a tunable laser 10 (fig 1) for illuminating a device under test (DUT) 26 (fig 1); a wavelength dependent transmission response (column 1, lines 48-54); a measuring circuit or detector 22 (fig 1).

Colbourne does not explicitly disclose synchronizing the measuring circuit to the wavelength dependent. However, Colbourne discloses providing a synchronization signal for using with system (column 2, lines 35-45). It would have been obvious to modify Colbourne's system to synchronize the measuring circuit to the wavelength dependent to make the system more efficient.

With respect to claims 8-9,11-12,14-15, the claimed gas cell or Fabry Perot device would have been known. It would have been obvious to use the known elements to save the system cost.

With respect to claim 10, refer to discussion in claim 7 above.

With respect to claim 13, refer to discussion in claim 7 above. Colbourne does not explicitly disclose a periodic wavelength reference. However, Colbourne discloses an apparatus for determining the wavelength to a tunable laser signal (column 1, lines 47-55) would perform the same function as the claimed periodic wavelength reference.

With respect to claim 16, it would have been obvious to modify Colbourne's system with a source of electromagnetic energy which is swept in a known way over a range of wavelengths to control the input signals to the device under test easier.

Application/Control Number: 10/060,452 Page 5

Art Unit: 2877

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tu T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-2424. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F 7:30-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank G Font can be reached on (571) 272-2415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tu T. Nguyen
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2877

TN 03/06/2004